

Heuristics and Biases in legal reasoning

1. General

- 1) Ariely, Dan. *Predictably Irrational. The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions*. New York: Harper, 2009.
- 2) Dovidio, John F., Miles Hewstone, Peter Glick, Victoria M. Esses, eds., *The SAGE Handbook of Prejudice, Stereotyping and Discrimination*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications Ltd, 2013.
- 3) Gilovich, Thomas, Dale W. Griffin, and Daniel Kahneman, eds., *Heuristics and Biases. The Psychology of Intuitive Judgment*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- 4) Greene, Joshua. "The Secret Joke of Kant's Soul." In *Moral Psychology. Volume 3: The Neuroscience of Morality: Emotion, Brain Disorders, and Development*, edited by Walter Sinnott-Armstrong, 35-79. Cambridge (Mass.): MIT Press, 2008.
- 5) Haidt, Jonathan. "The Emotional Dog and Its Rational Tail: A Social Intuitionist Approach to Moral Judgment." *Psychological Review* 108, no.4 (2008): 814-834. 10.1037/0033-295x.108.4.814
- 6) Kahneman, Daniel. *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. London: Penguin, 2011.
- 7) Kahneman, Daniel, Paul Slovic, and Amos Tversky, eds., *Judgment under uncertainty*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- 8) Viale, Riccardo, ed., *The Routledge Handbook of Bounded Rationality*. London: Routledge, 2020.

2. Heuristics, biases, and the law

- 1) Abrams, David S., Marianne Bertrand, and Sendhil Mullainathan. "Do Judges Vary in Their Treatment of Race?" *The Journal of Legal Studies* 41, no. 2 (2012): 347-83, doi:10.1086/666006.
- 2) Brewer, Neil, and Amy Bradfield Douglass, eds., *Psychological Science and the Law*. New York – London: Guilford Press, 2019.
- 3) Chapman, Gretchen B., and Brian H. Bornstein. "The More You Ask For, the More You Get: Anchoring in Personal Injury Verdicts." *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 10, no.6 (1996): 519–540. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-0720\(199612\)10:6<519::AID-ACP417>3.0.CO;2-5](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0720(199612)10:6<519::AID-ACP417>3.0.CO;2-5)
- 4) Bibas, Stephanos. "The psychology of hindsight and after-the-fact review of ineffective assistance of counsel" *Utah Law Review* 55, no.1 (2004): 1–12.
- 5) Efran, Michael G. "The effect of physical appearance on the judgment of guilt, interpersonal attraction, and severity of recommended punishment in a simulated jury task." *Journal of Research in Personality* 8, no.1 (1974): 45–54. [https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/0092-6566\(74\)90044-0](https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/0092-6566(74)90044-0)
- 6) Ellsworth, Phoebe C. "Legal Reasoning and Scientific Reasoning." *Alabama Law Review* 63, no.1 (2012): 895-918.
- 7) Englich, Birte, Thomas Mussweiler, and Fritz Strack. "The last word in court: A hidden disadvantage for the defense." *Law and Human Behavior* 29, no.6 (2005): 705–722. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10979-005-8380-7>

- 8) Englich, Birte, Thomas Mussweiler, and Fritz Strack. "Playing Dice with Criminal Sentences: The Influence of Irrelevant Anchors on Experts' Judicial Decision Making." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 32, no.2 (2006): 188–200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167205282152>
- 9) Gigerenzer, Gerd, and Christoph Engel, eds., *Heuristics and the Law*. Cambridge (Mass.) – London: The MIT Press, 2004.
- 10) Girvan, Erik. "Wise Restraints? Learning Legal Rules, Not Standards, Reduces the Effect of Stereotypes in Legal Decision-Making." *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law* 22, no.1 (2016):31-45, doi:10.1037/law0000068.
- 11) Griffin, Lisa Kern. "Criminal Adjudication, Error Correction, and Hindsight Blind Spots." *Washington and Lee Law Review* 73, no.1 (2016): 215 xxx
- 12) Guthrie, Chris, Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, and Andrew J. Wistrich. "Inside the Judicial Mind" *Cornell Law Review*, 86, no.4 (2001): 777-830. [10.2139/ssrn.257634](https://ssrn.com/abstract=102139)
- 13) Guthrie, Chris, Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, and Andrew J. Wistrich. "Blinking on the Bench: How Judges Decide Cases." *Cornell Law Faculty Publications* 93, no. 1 (2007): 1-43.
- 14) Harley, Erin M. "Hindsight bias in legal decision making." *Social Cognition*, 25, no.1 (2007): 48–63. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2007.25.1.48>
- 15) Jones, Craig E. "The Troubling New Science of Legal Persuasion: Heuristics and Biases in Judicial Decision-Making." *The Advocates' Quarterly* 41 (2013): 49 xxx
- 16) Klein, David E., and Gregory Mitchell eds. *The psychology of judicial decision-making*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- 17) Leibovitch, Adi. "Relative Judgments" *The Journal of Legal Studies* 45, no. 2. (2016): xxx-xxx. <https://doi.org/10.1086/687376>
- 18) Levinson, Justin D., Mark W. Bennett, and Koichi Hioki. "Judging Implicit Bias: A National Empirical Study of Judicial Stereotypes Beyond Black and White." *Florida Law Review* 69, no.1, (2017): 62-114.
- 19) Skorinko, Jeanine L., Sean Laurent, Kaitlin Bountress, and Kyi Phyu Nyein. "Effects of Perspective Taking on Courtroom Decisions." *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 44, no.4 (2014): 303-318, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12222>.
- 20) Wistrich, Andrew J., and Jeffery J. Rachlinski. "Implicit Bias in Judicial Decision Making: How It Affects Judgment and What Judges Can Do About It." In *Enhancing Justice: Reducing Bias*, edited by Sarah E. Redfield (Chicago: American Bar Association, 2017), 87-130.